DEVELOPING A PRICE MANAGEMENT DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR HOTEL BROKERS USING FREE AND OPEN SOURCE TOOLS
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Abstract: In the Internet age, e-commerce provides customers global reach to a wide variety of products and plays a dominant role in business activity and competition. Competition is especially aggressive in the online travel domain where wholesalers, e.g. brokerage companies, contract through their contract managers with thousands of hotel brands and trade hotel products (usually hotel nights) for travel businesses or end customers. In order to conclude a profitable contract, a contract manager should be able to compare all the particulars of the prospective partner hotel with those of the competing hotels in the target city. Given that the number of contract managers is comparatively small compared to the large number of hotels, the possible knowledge base is limited. Thus, the hotel brokerage companies are only able to bargain with a relatively limited number of hotels, and the contract profitability relies heavily on the contract managers‘ expertise and communication skills. In this paper we present a price management decision support system (DSS) for hotel brokers that allows analysis of hotel prices using spatial and non-spatial characteristics, estimation of the objective relative hotel prices, and determination of the profitability of the existing or future contracts. We built our system using free and open source tools including geographic information system and data mining frameworks that allow companies with limited money resources or manpower to implement such a prototype. We show the effectiveness of our tool by covering all the major components of the DSS such as data selection and integration, model management and user interface. We demonstrate our tool on the area of Barcelona, Spain using a real data of 168 hotels provided by one of the travel service providers.

1 INTRODUCTION

Hospitality business is an industry with two levels of competition. On the first level, hotels compete among each other for travelers. At the second level, various travel intermediates (travel agencies, travel wholesalers) compete for the most profitable discount rate contracts proposed by hotels. Profitability of any travel intermediate is directly related to the discount rate contracts that are acquired and to the intermediate’s ability of selling the product to customers. Travel intermediates are dependent on their staff of professional and highly paid hotel contract managers to negotiate the best contract. Since the number of hotels in the world is large and the negotiation process is long, any particular travel intermediate has a relatively small amount of contractors it can assign to any of available destinations. Consequently, a contractor is faced with two challenges: (1) to identify hotels that fit the profile of their end customers, and (2) to identify hotels in which managers would be inclined to give better rates during negotiations.

Hotels employ revenue management systems (for an overview, see Chiang et al. 2007) to determine the future pricing based on the capacity and demand forecast. Therefore, hotel managers who negotiate the deal with contractors propose contracts that are profitable for the hotel. However, contract managers usually lack detailed knowledge about the particular hotel and rely more on the local market understanding and their communication skills. One of the questions that travel wholesalers ask is whether there is a possibility to determine the objective market price of a hotel before the negotiation is started such that this
knowledge can be used by contractors in leveraging the deal. And if such a possibility exists, then what is the solution. A naive solution would be to use the openness and power of the Internet to check for the prices of the same hotel at competitors’ websites. However, this apparently simple approach is deemed impractical since hotels require their dealers to advertise the same price as it is shown on the hotel’s webpage. A practical solution is to use hedonic pricing theory (Rosen, 1974) to identify hotels with the same characteristics. Hedonic pricing theory states that the price of the product is determined by the individual characteristics of the product. Therefore, by finding the hotels with the same characteristics or factors that affect hotel prices, it will be possible to compare price rates between similar hotels.

Understanding the factors that affect hotel prices using the hedonic pricing theory, received much attention in the research (e.g., Monty and Skidmore 2003; Thrane 2007; Li et al. 2008; Hung et al. 2010; Chen and Rothschild 2010; Lee and Jang 2010). The results show that there is no universal solution to the factors that affect prices. Moreover, results were affected by many factors such as empirical methods selected for the analysis, data quality and completeness, and hotel characteristics. The problem of hotel price estimation using hotel characteristics is an ill-structured problem since it may have many answers that depend on the selected parameters. Additionally, hotel characteristics are of two types: non-spatial, like room amenities and hotel facilities, and spatial, like proximity to waterfront or to a business center. It is easier to answer the question about non-spatial characteristics like Is there a hairdryer in the room then answering the question How many points of interest are around the hotel since around is not precisely defined in terms of distance. Therefore, a completely automated solution process as was demonstrated by Li et al. (2008) is not feasible in this case since the guidance of the expert is paramount in the case of ill-structured problems. Clearly, there is a need for an interactive decision-support system (DSS) (Shim et al., 2002; Arnott and Pervan, 2005; Karacapilidis, 2006) that would help the analyst in testing different hypotheses regarding price factors on selected hotels. In this system, the analyst can select the region of investigation by fetching all the necessary data from his/her corporate database. It should allow him/her to add additional data that he/she thinks is important in the analysis. Such data, for example, could be points of interest around hotels, transportation points, historical places or information about the proximity of a hotel to waterfront, etc. The analyst can build different models and apply different algorithms using this system and the system should help the analyst in the final decision about the desirability of a hotel and its objective price. As was mentioned above, the hotel characteristics and model components have spatial characteristics (hotel location, location of points of interest, etc.). In previous research it was shown (Crossland et al., 1995) that addition of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) technology to a business decision-making environment improves the performance of the decision-maker. Therefore, we argue that the hotel price management system should at least provide support to input spatial data, to represent complex spatial relations, to analyze spatial data, and to output spatial data in the forms of maps, as discussed in Densham (1991).

The travel intermediates that are interested in the development of the outlined hotel price management decision support system will inevitably face at least two difficulties. The first difficulty is technical and relates to high costs pertinent to the development itself. Usually, such companies employ a staff of web programmers that develop web infrastructure of their corporate website and they do not have spare resources for developing complex analytical GIS-based systems. One of our goals is to show that by using the right free and open source tools, it is possible to save development time by extending existing applications concentrating on the development of components related to the price estimation problem only. We achieve this by extending Java OpenStreetMap Editor1, a cross-platform editor of OpenStreetMap (Haklay and Weber, 2008) data with a GIS-based interface, using R Project2, a suit for statistical computing and Weka (Hall et al., 2009), data mining and machine learning software. The second difficulty is how to obtain the external data that is essential for price estimations, such as points of interest, transportation locations (buses, trains). These data is originally out of the scope of wholesalers who generally have only data about hotel amenities and facilities, and room prices. There are different free services available (e.g., GeoNames3) to collect the data but these approaches work best only for some small predefined areas and require manual preprocessing. In case of a decision support system that is to be applied virtually on every part of the world, there is a need in a simple process for retrieving the needed data. We show that this is achieved by using OpenStreetMap data, which is contributed by thousands of people. Although, some data like the proximity of a hotel to the seafront is not available through OpenStreetMap, the

1http://josm.openstreetmap.de/
2http://www.r-project.org/
3http://www.geonames.org/
The analyst is able to decide for this feature and annotate the hotel under investigation with this information by using a simple user interface.

The contribution of the paper can be summarized as follows:

1. We propose a general all-in-one hotel price management solution for hotel wholesalers using free and open source tools.
2. We simplify considerably the external data acquisition by using OpenStreetMap data.
3. We enrich the price management process with geographic information system.
4. We embed a data mining framework that allows applying different algorithms on the created models.
5. The analyst decides on features that are included into the model.
6. The analyst applies the desired properties to features if needed (for example if a hotel faces waterfront).

2 RELATED WORK

Room rate characteristics for 74 hotels in and around Oslo were studied by Thrane (2007) using log-linear regression. Such factors as availability of mini-bars and hairdryers in a room, and parking near the hotel, significantly influenced the hotel price. However, room rates were lower in hotels that offer room service. In addition, hotels associated with chains are more expensive than non-chain hotels.

In the study about hotels in Taiwan (Hung et al., 2010), it was shown by applying quantile regression analysis, that the age of hotels is negatively related to the hotel price, while there is no significant difference between chain and non-chain hotels. Yet in another study that included 73 hotels in Taipei (Chen and Rothschild, 2010), it was found that such factors as breakfast, business centers or swimming pools do not influence the room price, while the hotel location, TV, Internet access, and availability of the fitness center, have significant influence on room rates.

Lee and Jang (2010) showed that hotel prices are affected by the proximity of a hotel to an airport or to central business districts.

Li et al. (2008) applied econometric modeling to estimate the “objective” economic value of different hotel characteristics such as proximity to the beach, distance to the downtown, neighborhood safeness, hotel class, customer reviews, etc. The econometric model predicts the actual price for a hotel and estimates its overall ranking (overpriced, underpriced) by calculating the difference between the averaged predicted price and the averaged real price.

3 PROBLEM DOMAIN

The interaction between hotels and hotel intermediates is schematically depicted in Figure 1. A hotel usually has its own website where it promotes room nights sales directly. The website is the most profitable selling channel because no intermediates are involved. However, the exposure of a hotel web page to a vast audience is limited because customers prefer to see the price list of hotels to compare using one or two travel sites, rather than searching for individual hotels. Therefore, hotels are interested in being advertised by other channels with higher probability of being exposed to end customers. As depicted in Figure 1, Hotel A is exposed through the Hotel Broker a channel, while Hotel B is exposed through the Hotel Broker b channel. Similarly, hotel brokers promote their products through consumer websites and offline travel agents. The hotel intermediate may also sell hotel nights to other intermediates if that intermediate does not have a direct contract with the hotel. It is clear that the hotel intermediate can reach the best price by working directly with the hotel. As was already explained in Section 1, the hotels sell room nights to the hotel brokers in the form of discount rate contracts. Hotel brokers are committed (as part of the contract) to keep the prices at their online channels similar to the prices provided by hotels through their own websites. Therefore, the revenue of the travel intermediates is the difference between the final hotel price and the contract cost. Consequently, the travel intermediates are highly interested in concluding the contract at the maximally lowest price and deal with the hotels directly rather than buying rooms from other hotel brokers. If a Hotel Broker b knows that Hotel A is identical to Hotel B (whose contract
they already acquired) in terms of characteristics that determine the hotel prices, then this knowledge will provide the leverage power in negotiating the profitable deal with Hotel A. The proposed price management decision support system is designed to help the hotel broker company acquire the needed knowledge about Hotel A. In addition, the same approach can also help in analyzing the profitability of existing deals by finding hotels similar in terms of their characteristics but different in terms of the prices they advertise.

4 SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS

In the previous chapter we have introduced the problem that hotel brokerage companies face. In this section we outline a number of key attributes that the decision support system has to have to successfully aid in the decision process. Figure 2 shows the use case diagram of the system usage and behavioral requirements. The system supports three user types: data manager, business intelligence analyst (BIA), and contract manager. The responsibility of the data manager is to retrieve the required data that are essential for the decision process. If the roles of the contract manager and the business intelligence analyst are separated, then the BIA is responsible for selecting the needed hotel characteristics like location-based and non-spatial attributes, building of spatial models and building of the pricing models for hotels under investigation. BIA is also responsible for generating the reports in the clear form that the contract manager can use during his/her deal negotiation. In this paper we concentrate only on behavioral requirements of data managers and business intelligence analysts covering data handling, model construction and price estimation.

In addition, we took into consideration the following key characteristics during the development by following the general guidelines of DSS and Spatial-DSS planning (Densham, 1991):
1. The user interface is powerful and easy to use.
2. The system allows to combine analytical models and data in a flexible manner.
3. The system allows to explore the solution space by using the models and generating feasible solutions.
4. The system allows to input, represent, and output spatial data.
5. The system allows output in different forms (maps, non-spatial statistics).

5 DATA AND PREPROCESSING

The data about hotels was provided by Travel Global Systems (TGS)
4, a travel service provider, and the hotel brokerage company. The data are divided into a static and dynamic components. The static data includes the names of hotels, their internal ids, location coordinates in World Geodetic System (WGS84), hotel facilities, room amenities, and hotel categories. The dynamic component includes the room prices for one night that customers received during their search for accommodation, the date of search, and the date of order. The type of a desired room was not specified in the data. Therefore, we assume that the average price of a hotel is related to a standard room type which is the most common room type in most of the hotels. Consequently, we selected only those room amenities that corresponded to a standard room.

Every amenity and facility types have an internal identification number. However, preprocessing was required since some of the amenities and facilities that referred to the same entity were represented by different ids and names. For example, Wireless Internet that was indicated in one hotel referred to High-speed Internet in another hotel. We manually processed all the amenities and facilities and merged those that referred to the same entity providing a mapping between the corporate ids and the ids used in our system.

4http://www.travelholdings.com/
6 SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

The following sections describe the main components of the system.

6.1 Java OpenStreetMap Editor

Java OpenStreetMap Editor (JOSM) is a convenient tool for editing the OpenStreetMap data. However, its interface (see Figure 3) and functionality is comparable to general purpose GIS packages like OpenJump\(^5\), UDig\(^6\) or MapWindow GIS\(^7\). It can present the spatial data in different layers and it is an extensible plug-in based framework. The primary advantage of JOSM over other general purpose frameworks is its ability to handle OpenStreetMap data, which is the primary source of external data for the price management decision support system. The provided control panel (bottom right corner in Figure 3) is our interface to the decision support system.

![Figure 3: Java OpenStreetMap Editor Main View](image)

6.2 External Data Collection

The data collection process is an integral part of JOSM. JOSM reads the data from the OpenStreetMap database by selecting the boundary of the area, and can save and load the data locally in the proprietary OSM XML format. Therefore, in order to obtain data for a desired region the data manager uses the functionality provided by JOSM. The OpenStreetMap data exist in two different types: (1) point data (nodes), which have coordinates expressed in longitude and latitude, and (2) ways, which express areal features that themselves are referenced through nodes. The geographical features have a list of attributes that come in a key=value form and determine different characteristics of the feature. The majority of widely used attributes are officially accepted, while some attributes can be used internally by an application. JOSM differentiate between types of features and attaches a specific icon to a feature that was recognized. This is extremely helpful when the user prepares the data for modeling since different types of the data will be depicted by different icons, which will facilitate the data management. For example, hotels are tagged by a key named tourism with the value hotel, while restaurants are tagged by a key named amenity and a value restaurant\(^8\). An example of how hotels are represented in JOSM can be seen in Figure 3.

We have introduced our own attribute waterfront that is assigned to a hotel by the domain expert in case when the hotel is near a waterfront.

![Figure 4: Data Reader Component](image)

6.3 Data Integration

The data reader component shown in Figure 4 consists of three parts: (1) Database connection, (2) Layer selection, and (3) Data type selection. The database connection component allows the user to connect to the database and select the corresponding database table to read the data from. The layer selection allows the user to select the existing layer or to create a new layer where the data will be read. The data type selection allows the user to select one of three types of data supported by the system: (1) General points - any data that has longitude and latitude coordinates, (2) OSM points - it is similar to general points but this data contains an additional field for attributes in a key=value form, and (3) Spatial Models data - the aerial data that consists of polygons and created by a spatial model builder (see below). The component facilitates the data retrieval by asking the user to select the right column (e.g., id or geometry column) that is essential during the reading of the data from a table.

\(^5\)http://www.openjump.org/
\(^6\)http://udig.refractions.net/
\(^7\)http://www.mapwindow.org/
\(^8\)For a complete list of official attributes please see http://wiki.openstreetmap.org/wiki/Map.Features
After the general spatial data is read and presented in one of the layers, the user can annotate it with the official or custom attributes thus turning the general data into the form recognizable by JOSM.

The user can write the data back to the table by using data writer component shown in Figure 5. The data will be read from the currently active layer. First, the user selects the database. The data can be written to an already existing table or to a new table by providing a name of a table. The user can also provide the description of the table that will be stored along with the data. Additional controls are available for table management, which allow deletion of an existing table and deletion of contents in an existing table. This component is useful during external data selection as described in Section 6.2 or when the subset of a corporate hotel data is selected for analysis from the corporate database.

6.4 Spatial Model Builder

Figure 6 shows the spatial model builder component. Like the data writer component, it is composed of two parts. First, the user selects the database and the source table where the point data is located. Next, the user provides the name of the model table where the spatial model will be stored. We decided to simplify the process of spatial model creation by combining a model generation and table write in one step. To achieve this, we call the database stored procedure that invokes the spatial model creation algorithm in R framework using PL/R procedural language for PostgreSQL. When the model is generated, it is written directly to a table provided in the spatial model builder component. Spatial model generates spatial clusters using Voronoi tessellation (Okabe et al., 2000). The Voronoi tessellation decomposes the metric space into regions of equal nearest neighbors using the set of generating points. This set of points can in our case be any external data important for determination of hotel prices (e.g., points of interest, transportation locations). The example of a transportation model generated by Voronoi tessellation is presented in Figure 7 using red lines, which are overlaid by the corresponding hotels shown as white rectangles.

The size of the cluster may indicate the relative density of the generating points located around. Thus, we may answer the following questions using the spatial model:

1. How many hotels are located in every region?
2. What is the area of a region?
3. Is the hotel located inside one of the regions?

6.5 Price Modeling

The price modeling component shown in Figure 8 is the most important component available for the analyst. It allows the analyst to select the hotel features that would build up the pricing model. The component consists of eight parts. First, the analyst connects

http://www.joeconway.com/plr/
to the database (this part is labeled as 1) that holds all the required information about hotels, prices, amenities, facilities, and spatial models. Second, the analyst retrieves the list of hotels he/she is interested in (labeled as 2) and selects the hotels that would be part of a model and hotels that would be used for price estimation (they will not be part of a model). The parts labeled as 3 and 6 are responsible for retrieval of amenities and facilities of the selected hotels. The analyst has the complete control over the final list of amenities and facilities that will be included into the model. If the hotel category (stars) is important for inclusion into the model, the analyst controls this in the part labeled 4. The part labeled 5 is called Point and Spatial Model and it is the most versatile part in the whole price modeling component. The analyst selects the spatial characteristics using two types of data. The point data that was used for generating the spatial model as explained in Section 6.4 and the spatial models stored in the corresponding tables. Next, the analyst selects the desired radius size(s). The definition of radius sizes allows the analyst to answer such questions as: How many points of interest/museums/bus stops are in the radius of 200 meters around the hotel? The hotel density in the specified radius can also be calculated. In the part labeled as 7, the analyst retrieves the hotel prices and specifies the period for which the pricing model has to be built. Finally, the analyst saves the generated model and the hotel test set (if provided) in files (labeled as 8) with the format recognized by Weka, the data mining package embedded into the system.

7 USE CASE

In this section we present one of the possible explorative scenarios of the system usage, which may fit the situation when the contract manager would like to understand if already concluded contracts with particular hotels match the objective price of those hotels. As an examples, we used 168 hotels in the area of Barcelona, Spain. Exploration is the common way to understand the data under investigation. Therefore, the first and foremost step is to visualize the locations of hotels to understand where hotels are situated in order to decide which hotels are not important for the inclusion into a model. This step is shown in Figure 3. Let us suppose that all the hotels were selected and the pricing model was built using the price modeling component described in Section 6.5. Our problem is to identify hotels that are similar in terms of their characteristics, but differ considerably in price. Hundreds of attributes can be part of a model and the analyst may use different methods to find groups of hotels with similar attributes. For the sake of sim-
plicity, we implemented a multidimensional scaling (MDS) (Kruskal and Wish, 1978), which is a powerful technique to investigate multivariate data by transforming the multidimensional data into two dimensions by preserving the relative distance between objects (hotels in our case). MDS allows for observing similarities of objects using graphical representation. The analyst can therefore determine what hotels are similar in terms of their characteristics and also check their average relative market price as presented in Figure 9. Let us focus on two hotels that are enclosed in the red rectangle. They are located far enough from the majority of other hotels but relatively close to each other. However, the inspection of their relative market price (the average of their price divided by the average of all other hotels) shows that Canal Olympic Hotel price is 0.57 (43% lower than the average relative market price in the area) having the absolute price of 75.02 euro, while the price of AC Hotel Gava is 1.11 (11% higher than the average relative market price in the area) with the absolute price of 144.31 euro. The difference of 69 euro is very substantial and the analyst is interested in further analysis. By inspecting the hotels’ location we discover that these two hotels are also located close to each other geographically as shown in Figure 10. The analyst decides to use regression analysis to estimate the real prices of these hotels using all other hotels as a price model (training data). After selecting the best estimator using 10-fold cross validation on the training data, we apply Additive Regression with Isotonic Regression on the two hotels. The results are presented in Figure 11 and outlined with red rectangles. The price predicted for the AC Hotel Gava is 90 euro, lower then the original price, while the price Canal Olympic Hotel is 78.62, not significantly higher than its original price. Based on these findings, the analyst should revise the contract with the hotel AC Hotel Gava if the contract rate is much more higher than the contract of Canal Olympic Hotel.

8 DISCUSSION

The proposed price management decision support system stands out by adding three essential features: (1) the use of JOSM, a GIS-based tool that was initially designed to support a very narrow task of creating and editing OpenStreetMap data, (2) the use of the OpenStreetMap data as an external data in the process of determination of hotel prices, and (3) the use of data mining framework instead of pure statistical approaches for price analysis. The advantage of using JOSM over other general purpose GIS tools was discussed in Section 6.1. However, the other two features require further discussion.

Since OpenStreetMap data retrieval is naturally supported by JOSM, it simplifies the process of data acquisition. In comparison, Li et al. (2008) applied a complex process of data collection. The authors used Virtual Earth Interactive SDK to measure the number of restaurants and shopping destinations in proximity to the hotels. To answer the question whether the hotel is located near the beach, Li et al. (2008) used image classification of satellite data and manually validated the results by using on-demand human annotators through the Amazon Mechanical Turk paid service. The advantages of using only one source of data are clear. First, OpenStreetMap data is reach in content. It contains information about transportation such as buses and trains, points of interest, restaurants and pubs, places of worship and historical sites. All this is useful for the hotel price estimation. Second, the data can be visualized in the system such that the analyst can decide which parts are relevant for the analysis. Third, the absence of some functionality such as determining whether the hotel is located near a waterfront, is substituted by the domain expert himself without the need for applying costly image classification methods and paid human annotators. However, the completeness and correctness of the OpenStreetMap data still need to be closely examined because the data is contributed by volunteers, and because the project was only recently established. A recent study (Zielstra and Zipf, 2010) conducted on Germany data showed that there is a difference in terms of data completeness between cities.
Figure 9: Similarity of hotel characteristics using Multidimensional Scaling

Figure 11: Price estimation using Weka
and rural areas. However, the difference has been decreased extremely in recent years due to the increase in new members willing to participate in the project (the number of participants doubled within one year and stands for over 200,000 members in January 2010). Moreover, the data in large cities is rich enough. In fact, OpenStreetMap data has been already used in place of proprietary and commercial data sets (Zielstra and Zipf, 2010).

The advantage of using data mining over pure statistical analysis is explained by the type of the problem we deal with. Statistical analysis usually deals with well structured problems, small data sets, homogeneity of data, and a confirmatory type of analysis (Hand, 1998). Recall from Section 1, the problem of hotel price estimation is an ill-structured problem with different types of data (spatial and non-spatial) and input parameters. Here, the use of heterogeneous data and exploratory analysis using different algorithms for price estimation are more appropriate. This is due to the fact that data mining approaches can handle high-dimensional data with high degree of sparseness, multicollinearity, outliers and missing values that statistical approaches cannot easily handle (Brusilovsky and Brusilovskiy, 2008).

9 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we presented a practical approach for implementing a price management decision support system for hotel brokers and hotel intermediates. We discussed the problem that hotel brokers face and the requirements for implementing the decision support system. The solution was simplified considerably by using free and open source tools such as Java OpenStreetMap Editor (JOSM), R statistical package and Weka data mining framework. We also simplified the process of external spatial data acquisition by using OpenStreetMap data. In our future work, we plan to enrich the system with other analytical components, and we will closely work with the hotel domain experts to identify problems that have not been yet covered by the current prototype.
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