Social shifts in the Late Pre-hispanic US Southwest

Habiba, Jan C. Athenstädt & Ulrik Brandes

Department of Computer & Information Science University of Konstanz

April 26th, 2014





Agenda

Introduction

Overview

Mills et al. (2013):Transformation of social networks in the late pre–Hispanic US Southwest Follow–up questions

Beyond Brainerd-Robinson

Alternative measures of similarity Index of Significance of Wares Across–Time Comparison

ViSim - A tool to explore similarities among sites

Conclusions and future work



Summary



► US Southwest (A.D. 1200—1450): large-scale demographic changes

- long-distance migration
- population aggregation





Summary

- ► US Southwest (A.D. 1200–1450): large-scale demographic changes
 - long-distance migration
 - population aggregation





Summary

- ► US Southwest (A.D. 1200–1450): large-scale demographic changes
 - long-distance migration
 - population aggregation







Mills et al. (2013): Transformation of social networks in the late pre–Hispanic US Southwest

- Reconstruct population dynamics using network approach
- ▶ Database: 42 distinct artifacts, 700+ sites/settlements, over 250 years
 - ▶ 515 settlements with ≥ 30 artifacts
 - discretized 250 years into 50-years periods
- ► Similarity: Brainerd-Robinson index

$$BR(x, y) = 2 - \sum_{z=1}^{p} |P_{xz} - P_{yz}|$$





Mills et al. (2013): Transformation of social networks in the late pre–Hispanic US Southwest

- Reconstruct population dynamics using network approach
- Database: 42 distinct artifacts, 700+ sites/settlements, over 250 years
 - ▶ 515 settlements with ≥ 30 artifacts
 - alscretized 250 years into 50-years periods
- ► Similarity: Brainerd-Robinson index

$$BR(x,y) = 2 - \sum_{z=1}^{p} |P_{xz} - P_{yz}|$$





Mills et al. (2013): Transformation of social networks in the late pre–Hispanic US Southwest

- Reconstruct population dynamics using network approach
- ▶ Database: 42 distinct artifacts, 700+ sites/settlements, over 250 years
 - ▶ 515 settlements with ≥ 30 artifacts
 - discretized 250 years into 50-years periods
- ► Similarity: Brainerd-Robinson index

$$BR(x,y) = 2 - \sum_{z=1}^{p} |P_{xz} - P_{yz}|$$





Mills et al. (2013): Transformation of social networks in the late pre–Hispanic US Southwest

- Reconstruct population dynamics using network approach
- ▶ Database: 42 distinct artifacts, 700+ sites/settlements, over 250 years
 - ▶ 515 settlements with ≥ 30 artifacts
 - discretized 250 years into 50-years periods
- ► Similarity: Brainerd-Robinson index

$$BR(x,y) = 2 - \sum_{z=1}^{p} |P_{xz} - P_{yz}|$$





Mills et al. (2013): Transformation of social networks in the late pre–Hispanic US Southwest

- Reconstruct population dynamics using network approach
- Database: 42 distinct artifacts, 700+ sites/settlements, over 250 years
 - ▶ 515 settlements with ≥ 30 artifacts
 - discretized 250 years into 50-years periods
- ► Similarity: Brainerd-Robinson index

$$BR(x,y) = 2 - \sum_{z=1}^{p} |P_{xz} - P_{yz}|$$





Mills et al. (2013): Transformation of social networks in the late pre–Hispanic US Southwest

- Reconstruct population dynamics using network approach
- Database: 42 distinct artifacts, 700+ sites/settlements, over 250 years
 - ▶ 515 settlements with ≥ 30 artifacts
 - discretized 250 years into 50-years periods
- Similarity: Brainerd-Robinson index

$$BR(x,y) = 2 - \sum_{z=1}^{p} |P_{xz} - P_{yz}|$$





Mills et al. (2013): Transformation of social networks in the late pre–Hispanic US Southwest

- Reconstruct population dynamics using network approach
- ▶ Database: 42 distinct artifacts, 700+ sites/settlements, over 250 years
 - ▶ 515 settlements with ≥ 30 artifacts
 - discretized 250 years into 50-years periods
- Similarity: Brainerd-Robinson index

$$BR(x,y) = 2 - \sum_{z=1}^{p} |P_{xz} - P_{yz}|$$





- 1. How do larger and more diverse settlements relate to the smaller and more homogeneous ones?
- 2. How does population shifts happened within shorter or longer time periods?
- 3. How much is the evolving "identity" of settlements indicative of movement trends?





- 1. How do larger and more diverse settlements relate to the smaller and more homogeneous ones?
- 2. How does population shifts happened within shorter or longer time periods?
- 3. How much is the evolving "identity" of settlements indicative of movement trends?





- 1. How do larger and more diverse settlements relate to the smaller and more homogeneous ones?
- 2. How does population shifts happened within shorter or longer time periods?
- 3. How much is the evolving "identity" of settlements indicative of movement trends?





- 1. How do larger and more diverse settlements relate to the smaller and more homogeneous ones?
- 2. How does population shifts happened within shorter or longer time periods?
- 3. How much is the evolving "identity" of settlements indicative of movement trends?





- 1. Alternative measures of similarity
- Index of significance of wares
- Across—time comparison of settlements





- 1. Alternative measures of similarity
- Index of significance of wares
- Across—time comparison of settlements





- 1. Alternative measures of similarity
- 2. Index of significance of wares
- Across—time comparison of settlements





- 1. Alternative measures of similarity
- 2. Index of significance of wares
- 3. Across–time comparison of settlements





Alternative measures of similarity

Asymmetric similarity based on dominance relationship

► Integral:

$$W_X \subseteq W_y \Rightarrow X <_D y$$

Fractional:

$$\forall w_x^z \in W_x : P_{xz} < P_{yz} \Rightarrow x <_D y$$





Alternative measures of similarity

Asymmetric similarity based on dominance relationship

Integral:

$$W_x \subseteq W_y \Rightarrow x <_D y$$

Fractional:

$$\forall W_X^Z \in W_X : P_{XZ} < P_{YZ} \Rightarrow X <_D y$$





Alternative measures of similarity

Asymmetric similarity based on dominance relationship

Integral:

$$W_x \subseteq W_y \Rightarrow x <_D y$$

Fractional:

$$\forall w_x^z \in W_x : P_{xz} < P_{yz} \Rightarrow x <_D y$$





Alternative measures of similarity

Similarity based on relative ranking of wares

▶ Parametrized:

► Non–parametrized:

$$S_R^1(x,y) = \max_{1 \le k \le p} \frac{|V_R^x \cap V_R^y|}{k}$$





Alternative measures of similarity

Similarity based on relative ranking of wares

Parametrized:

$$S_R(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |V_R^x[1:k] \cap V_R^y[1:k]| \ge l \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

▶ Non-parametrized:

$$S_R^1(x,y) = \max_{1 \le k \le p} \frac{|V_R^x \cap V_R^y|}{k}$$





Alternative measures of similarity

Similarity based on relative ranking of wares

Parametrized:

$$S_R(x,y) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 1 & ext{ if } |V_R^x[1:k] \cap V_R^y[1:k]| \geq I \\ 0 & ext{ otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

Non–parametrized:

$$S_R^1(x,y) = \max_{1 \le k \le p} \frac{|V_R^x \cap V_R^y|}{k}.$$





$$I(w_i, x) = f(w_i, x) \times \frac{N}{1 + |x \in S: w_i \in s_i|}$$

- ▶ Similarity among sites based on $I(w_i, s_x)$
- ▶ Co—occurrence of wares
- Evolving "identity" of settlements over periods of time.





- $I(w_i, x) = f(w_i, x) \times \frac{N}{1 + |x \in S: w_i \in s_i|}$
 - ► Similarity among sites based on $I(w_i, s_x)$
 - Co-occurrence of wares
- Evolving "identity" of settlements over periods of time.





- $I(w_i, x) = f(w_i, x) \times \frac{N}{1 + |x \in S: w_i \in s_i|}$
 - ▶ Similarity among sites based on $I(w_i, s_x)$
 - Co–occurrence of wares
- Evolving "identity" of settlements over periods of time.





- $I(w_i, x) = f(w_i, x) \times \frac{N}{1 + |x \in S: w_i \in s_i|}$
 - ▶ Similarity among sites based on $I(w_i, s_x)$
 - ► Co-occurrence of wares
- Evolving "identity" of settlements over periods of time.





- $I(w_i, x) = f(w_i, x) \times \frac{N}{1 + |x \in S: w_i \in s_i|}$
 - ▶ Similarity among sites based on $I(w_i, s_x)$
 - ▶ Co–occurrence of wares
- Evolving "identity" of settlements over periods of time.





Across-Time Comparison

- Long distance movement/migration/resettlement
- Shorter/longer distance movements





Across-Time Comparison

- Long distance movement/migration/resettlement
- Shorter/longer distance movements





Across-Time Comparison

- Long distance movement/migration/resettlement
- Shorter/longer distance movements





The Value of Data Visualization

The greatest value of a picture is when it forces us to notice what we never expected to see.

John Tukey, American Mathematician





Types of Data Visualization

- Exploratory Analysis
 - no prior idea on possible outcomes
 - look for interesting patterns in the data
 - ⇒ hypothesis
- Confirmatory Analysis
 - validate a hypothesis
 - goal-oriented examination of the data
 - ⇒ facts that are confirmed by the visualization
- Presentation
 - ▶ show the facts to an audience
 - emphasis on the relevant parts
 - ⇒ high-quality visualization that is easy to understand





Types of Data Visualization

- Exploratory Analysis
 - no prior idea on possible outcomes
 - look for interesting patterns in the data
 - ⇒ hypothesis
- Confirmatory Analysis
 - validate a hypothesis
 - goal-oriented examination of the data
 - ⇒ tacts that are confirmed by the visualization
- Presentation
 - ▶ show the facts to an audience
 - emphasis on the relevant parts
 - \Rightarrow high-quality visualization that is easy to understand



